

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ENITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Enited States Patent and Trademark Office Address C. Peter and Trademark Office Address C. Peter 1587 New Town Address Adexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/600,614	06/23/2003	Kinya Aota	503.35255V13	6832
20457	7590 11/18/2003		EXAM	INER
ANTONELLI, TERRY, STOUT & KRAUS, LLP 1300 NORTH SEVENTEENTH STREET			EDMONDSON, I	YNNE RENEE
SUITE 1800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ARLINGTON	ARLINGTON, VA 22209-9889		1725	

DATE MAILED: 11/18/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

, ,	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summan	10/600,614	AOTA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Lynne Edmondson	1725			
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of after SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicati If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory, Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re on. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT statute. Cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication.			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>23 June 2003</u> .				
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) ⊠	This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	hdrawn from consideration.				
Application Papers	·				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>23 June 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Bt * See the attached detailed Office action for attached detailed Office action for attached since a specific reference was included in the 37 CFR 1.78. a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for don reference was included in the first sentence	ments have been received. ments have been received in Ap priority documents have been r ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). a list of the certified copies not re nestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § the first sentence of the specificat provisional application has been nestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §	plication No eceived in this National Stage eceived. 119(e) (to a provisional application) ion or in an Application Data Sheet. en received.			
Attachment(s)	_				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No.	 Notice of Info 	mmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) rmal Patent Application (PTO-152) .			

Art Unit: 1725

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 1-5 and 8-10 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 2, 5, 6, 9-12, 27 and 29 of copending Application No. 10/054852. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both teach friction stir welding. '852 claims 1, 2, 5, 6 and 9-12 teach a friction stir welding method wherein hollow members with face plates having interlocking (grooves and projections) sections are engaged and placed in abutment and joined by insertion of a rotary tool inserted within a diameter range. This method is taught in instant claims 1-5 and 8-10 without the statement of insertion within a diameter range or range of depth of the recess. However, insertion within a diameter range is necessary to insert the tool into the overlapped portion from an outer side. The connector plate of the '852 claims is equivalent to the third plate of the 'instant claims. Although the term "hollow member"

Application/Control Number: 10/600,614

Art Unit: 1725

is not used in the instant claims, parallel face plates with an orthogonal connecting member describe a hollow member in instant claim 1 or '852 claim 1. Friction stir welding occurs at the abutment, which is substantially on an extension of the center line of the thickness of the connecting (or third) plate ('852 claims 27 and 29 and instant claims 2, 4 and 9). Although there is no disclosure of reversing the first and second member, this would be required to weld both the upper and lower sections of the members as there is no indication of simultaneous welding.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention that the structures are the same although the terminology is slightly different and that the friction welding method would be the same for interlocked members regardless of other shapes and structures present in or on the member.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

3. Claims 1-4, 6-9 and 11 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 6-11 of U.S. Patent No. 6050474. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both teach a friction stir welding method for welding a first and second member comprising first and second members with recesses and projections which are overlapped and a third plate (rib) wherein the rotary tool is positioned within a range of an extension line of a thickness of the third plate (rib) (instant claims 1-4, 8 and 9, '474 claims 8-10). Although the angle of the rib is not

Application/Control Number: 10/600,614

Art Unit: 1725

disclosed, it noted that this does not change the welding method or disposition of the tool. Multiple tools are used simultaneously (instant claims 6 and 11 and '474 claims 6 and 7) and members are mounted on a bed (instant claim 7 and '474 claim 11).

4. Claims 1-5, 8-10, 12 and 13 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 3-7, 13-17 and 26-29 of U.S. Patent No. 6050474. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both teach a friction stir welding method for welding a first and second member comprising first and second members with recesses and projections which are overlapped and a third plate (orthogonal rib in '264 claims) wherein the rotary tool is positioned within a range of an extension line of a thickness of the third plate (instant claims 1-5 and 8-10 and '264 claims 3-6). Although the angle of the rib is not disclosed, it noted that this does not change the welding method or disposition of the tool. The instant structure of claim 12 is taught in '264 claims 26-29. The instant structure of claim 13 is taught in '264 claims 7 and 13-17. It is noted that a similar structure can be formed by MIG or TIG welding.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Aota et al. (USPN 6613447 B2, structure), Kawasaki et al. (USPN 6002/0119336 A1, structure), Ezumi et al. (USPN 6494011, structure), Ezumi et al.

Page 5

(USPN 6474533, structure and method), Aota et al. (USPN 6619534 B2, method), Ezumi et al. (USPN 6193137 B1), Forrest et al. (USPN 6398883 B1, simultaneous welding), and Thomas et al. (USPN 5460317, simultaneous welding, IDS).

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lynne Edmondson whose telephone number is (703) 306-5699. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 6:30 a.m. to 5 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Dunn can be reached on (703) 308-3318. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0651.

Lynne Edmondson

Examiner

Art Unit 1725

LRE